

Issue History

| Title   |  Assigned To |  Modified By | Comment  | Modified            |
|---|---|---|--|---------------------|
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Dave Chapman  | Stacey Lustig   | I was reviewing this work order. Now that we have added the Local No (County Account Number) field to RealWare. I believe this is should be used in place of what we originally planned here for JurisAccountno. Do you agree? Would you like me to prepare an amended copy of this work order to reflect that change?     | 7/1/2005 10:19 AM   |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Stacey Lustig   | Kevin OConnell  | Stacey now has the information from David Chapman that we should stick with the original plan on this. Stacey said it is no problem.   | 11/20/2004 11:40 AM |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Stacey Lustig   | Dave Chapman  | Please see Kevin O. on the specifics regarding this workorder.   | 11/18/2004 3:56 PM  |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Dave Chapman  | Stacey Lustig   | Hi David, I wondered if you had been able to get confirmation that it was okay to have more than one tax district per account number in Wyo. We are holding on our development of this until we hear back from you. We will need to hear soon if we are going to meet our deadline for the 04.07.00 build. Thanks so much. | 11/18/2004 10:56 AM |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Sanjeev Sharma (CCI)  | Stacey Lustig   |  | 11/11/2004 12:20 PM |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Stacey Lustig   | Stacey Lustig   | Scheduled for 04.07.00 release.  | 10/7/2004 12:21 PM  |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Stacey Lustig   | Dave Chapman  | One note, the "official value flag" should be "certified value flag"   | 10/4/2004 8:37 AM   |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Stacey Lustig   | Dave Chapman  | This looks good to go with the understanding that the other work orders must be completed for this to work correctly. - dc   | 10/4/2004 8:24 AM   |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Dave Chapman  | Stacey Lustig   | Certified date changed to Official Date  | 10/4/2004 8:06 AM   |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Stacey Lustig   | Stacey Lustig   | Stacey - please see David Chapman's comment below. It looks like he is requesting we make an edit to a label name  | 10/3/2004 7:10 AM   |
| New State Assessed Data Fields and Data Access Requirements | Stacey Lustig   | Dave Chapman  | We will be ready to sign with the other work orders in place and the certification date renamed to "official date" (or something like that!). -dc  | 10/3/2004 6:46 AM   |
| New State Assessed Data                                     | Wade Hall   | Stacey Lustig   | Wade needs to review this document prior to signing.   | 10/1/2004 2:47 PM   |

|   |                  |                   |                    |
|---|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Fields and Data<br>Access<br>Requirements                               |                  |                   |                    |
| New State<br>Assessed Data<br>Fields and Data<br>Access<br>Requirements | Dave<br>Chapman  | Stacey<br>Lustig  | 10/1/2004 10:48 AM |
| New State<br>Assessed Data<br>Fields and Data<br>Access<br>Requirements | Stacey<br>Lustig | Stacey<br>Lustig  | 10/1/2004 9:52 AM  |
| New State<br>Assessed Data<br>Fields and Data<br>Access<br>Requirements | Dave<br>Chapman  | Kevin<br>OConnell | 9/30/2004 3:38 PM  |
| New State<br>Assessed Data<br>Fields and Data<br>Access<br>Requirements | Dave<br>Chapman  | Kevin<br>OConnell | 9/29/2004 6:28 PM  |
| New State<br>Assessed Data<br>Fields and Data<br>Access<br>Requirements | Dave<br>Chapman  | Kevin<br>OConnell | 9/29/2004 3:15 PM  |