



## Wyoming CAMA Users Group Veteran's Exemption Database

### Select a View

[Overview](#)
[Graphical Summary](#)
[All Responses](#)

### Actions

 [Alert me](#)
 [Modify survey and questions](#)
[Respond to this Survey](#) | [Export Results to a spreadsheet](#)

#### 1. Does this cause any issues for your county?

Yes

21 (91%)

No

2 (9%)

---

Total: 23

#### 2. If you answered yes to the prior question, please explain.

I think if we have put the info on the veteran in the system, it should remain there indefinitely. There are always the issues of veterans relocating or widows needing to apply. It is good historic information.

1 (5%)

Initially - this was to be the warehouse for our veterans system - if you take the inactive vets off - virtually you are requiring us once again to maintain our own system for veterans and again requiring us to duplicate our work and maintain information in two separate place which only leads to inconsistencies and inaccuracies and confusion. We were told initially that this system would have unlimited space and memory and that it is the ideal place for warehousing the information we previously kept and got rid of in our own counties. Why now do you find it necessary to go back on the original premise and do away with the inactives?

1 (5%)

I totally agree with Shelley and Debbi. This is historical information that we have went to great lengths to maintain, and I see no reason for removing them from the data base when there is a chance the Veteran, or their surviving spouse, could return some day to reinstate their exemption.

1 (5%)

We also need the historical information for veteran's who are currently inactive but could re-activate.

1 (5%)

I agree with Debbie. We need one system maintained so we dont have to keep track ourselves.

1 (5%)

I agree with the counties who have already responded. Keep the inactive ones in the database for historical use and for reactivation.

1 (5%)

We are proud of the fact that every veteran that had ever used the exemption in Laramie County is on file. We invested alot of time keying the historical information into our own database prior to using the department. I am very opposed to deleting the info.

1 (5%)

This is historical data and we have worked hard to enter and maintain this database. I do not want any of it deleted.

1 (5%)

Data base history. I thought that was the purpose.

1 (5%)

ditto other comments

1 (5%)

I agree completely with the other responses. We need to maintain all of the information.

1 (5%)

I agree with the other responses that it should be for history purposes and then we don't have to go to our vault to research if someone moved away and then came back to re-sign up.

1 (5%)

I agree with the counties that already responded. This is historical information and should be kept indefinitely. I thought that was the purpose in the beginning. Why remove a record and then have to re-enter information if the veteran or widow comes in to get reinstated.

1 (5%)

The historical information needs to be retained. Veterans move away and then years later return. Surely the state's computer system has the capacity to store this information.

1 (5%)

historical data that is used time after time.

1 (5%)

We would like to have them remain in the history. There maybe people that move around and come back to the state. We prefer to not have to re collect this information.

1 (5%)

We do not want any active vets deleted. When we built our database we entered all vets that were still alive or their spouse was alive as they could become eligible for the benefit in the future as statute changes came about. We are going to look at all our inactive and make sure they are correct. You could then delete our inactive only. Vets retention for records is 10 years per DOR.

1 (5%)

I agree with the comments made by the other assessors

1 (5%)

Keep the inactive veteran in the database for historic purpose and for possibility of reactivation - the project to enter our vets was very time consuming and we do not want to lose that information.

1 (5%)

Leave the active records on. Someone had to enter them for a reason.

Could probably delete the inactive.

1 (5%)

We echo the other counties remarks. The historical value of these record is worth the effort required to maintain them in the data base.

1 (5%)

---

Total: 21

3. If removing these historical veteran records is an issue, what would you suggest as a retention period?

Indefinitely

19 (90%)

20 Years

0 (0%)

10 Years

2 (10%)

5 Years

0 (0%)

Less than 5 Years

0 (0%)

---

Total: 21